# Ideas on beam dynamics issues in SuperKEKB and benchmark of SAD and PTC

**D. Zhou** 

SuperKEKB mini optics meeting Aug. 20, 2015

#### **Personal scenario**

- Target lum. of 8E35 => Beam-beam has to be accepted
  - Relaxing beam-beam means loss of luminosity
- ► Lattice nonlinearity is the key issue
  - Try every method to suppress it
- Crab waist is attractive
  - But fundamentally limited by LN
- Space charge is a concern
  - Should be solvable if LN is well suppressed
- ► Interplay of BB+LN+SC+... in SuperKEKB sets request to interactions of different teams and also advanced tools
  - Optics group vs. Collective effect group
  - SuperKEKB team vs. FCC teams (SLAC, IHEP, INFN, CERN)
- SuperKEKB needs better SAD, or supplementaries for SAD: MADX, Bmad, FPP/PTC, SCTR, etc.

## Personal scenario (cont'd)

#### Ideas for nonlinear lattice analysis and suppression of LN

- Analyse turn-by-turn tracking data (like Y. Zhang's idea)
- Lie Algebra analysis (like Y. Cai's method applied to PEPX and FCC)
- Try alternatives for downhill simplex DA optimisation (like

Genetic Algorithms(GA), Multi-Objective Genetic Algorithm (MOGA), etc. popular for light sources)

Extend international collaborations as well ensuring mutual benefits

- KEK-Cornell (SAD-Bmad, D. Sagan)
- KEK-SLAC (General acc. physics, Y. Cai, G. Stupakov et al.)
- KEK-CERN (SuperKEKB-FCC, K. Oide et al.)
- KEK-INFN (SuperKEKB-DAFNE, M. Biagini et al.)
- KEK-IHEP (SuperKEKB-CEPC, Y. Zhang, J. Gao et al.)

- 1. Y. Zhang's idea
- ► Realistic lattice



- ► Realistic lattice
- Evidence of nonlinear X-Y coupling?
- COD in y direction as function of X offset

sher-5767 vs ler-1689 in Y direction

1  $x_0 = 3\sigma_x$  $x_0 = 0$  $x_0 = 2\sigma_x$  $x_0 = 4\sigma_x$  $x_0 = 5\sigma_x$  $x_0 = \sigma_x$ 0.5 0 sher -0.5 -1 -2 -1 0 -1 3 3 0 3 0 0 1 2 з y/σ<sub>y</sub> y/σ<sub>v</sub> y/σ<sub>v</sub> y/σ<sub>v</sub> y/σ<sub>v</sub> y/σ<sub>v</sub> 1  $x_0 = 2\sigma_x$  $x_0 = 3\sigma_x$  $x_0 = 4\sigma_x$  $x_0 = 5\sigma_x$  $x_0 = 0$  $x_0 = \sigma_x$ 0.5 0 sler -0.5 -1 2 3 4 -2 -1 0 З 0 0 2 3 -2 -1 0 1 1 2 з -1 0 з 0 1 -2 -1 1 з -2 -1 1 \_2 -1 2 - 1 2 2 y/σ<sub>v</sub> y/σ<sub>v</sub> y/σ<sub>v</sub> y/σ<sub>v</sub> y/σ<sub>v</sub> y/σ<sub>v</sub>

#### **Frequency Analysis**

Linear Normalized Coordinate

$$\hat{x} = \frac{x}{\sqrt{\beta_x}}, \hat{p}_x = p_x * \sqrt{\beta_x}$$
$$\hat{y} = \frac{y}{\sqrt{\beta_y}}, \hat{p}_y = p_y * \sqrt{\beta_y},$$

• Turn-by-Turn data could be represented by (with first order approximation)

$$\begin{split} \hat{x}(m) - i\hat{p}_{x}(m) &= \sqrt{2A_{x}}e^{i(m\mu_{x} + \phi_{x,0})} \\ &- \sum_{abcd} 2iaf_{abcd}^{(3)}(2A_{x})^{\frac{a+b-1}{2}}(2A_{y})^{\frac{c+d}{2}}e^{i(b-a+1)(m\mu_{x} + \phi_{x,0})}e^{i(d-c)(m\mu_{y} + \phi_{y,0})} \\ \hat{y}(m) - i\hat{p}_{y}(m) &= \sqrt{2A_{y}}e^{i(m\mu_{y} + \phi_{y,0})} \\ &- \sum_{abcd} 2icf_{abcd}^{(3)}(2A_{x})^{\frac{a+b}{2}}(2A_{y})^{\frac{c+d-1}{2}}e^{i(b-a)(m\mu_{x} + \phi_{x,0})}e^{i(d-c+1)(m\mu_{y} + \phi_{y,0})} \end{split}$$



#### Sepctrum

- There exist very strong 'oscilation' at 0, 2Qx, -2Qx for LER
- It is suspected the cause is

f1110 -> 0 in vertical direction, the amplitude is proportional to  $(2A_x)$ 

f0210 -> 2Qx in vertical direction, the amplitude is proportional to  $(2A_x)$ 

f2010 -> -2Qx in vertical direction, the amplitude is proportional to  $(2A_x)$ 

All these terms may come from a skew sextupole like magnet.

 $H \sim 3x^2y-y^3$ 

# Test by inserting a map of H=K\*x<sup>2</sup>y into the LER lattice COD and oscillation amplitude in y are well suppressed as expected



#### 2. Lie algebra method

Look into high-order driving terms instead of linear optics

#### 3<sup>rd</sup> order driving terms

4<sup>th</sup> order driving terms



#### Y. Cai, FCC kick-off meeting, Feb. 12-16, 2014

#### 2. Lie algebra method

#### Look into high-order driving terms instead of linear optics



Figure 2: All third-order resonances driven by sextupoles.



Figure 4: The four residual 4th-order terms in the Lie operator:  $f_4$ .



Figure 3: Fourth-order resonances driven by sextupoles.



Figure 11: Fourth-order resonances driving terms in the lattice with two interaction regions.

Y. Cai, SLAC-PUB-16127, Oct. 2014

# 2. Lie algebra method

- > Y. Cai's method is a subset of PTC (by E. Forest)
- PTC can even do better
  - Handle double rings simultaneously
  - Beam-beam included
  - Sophisticated lattice analysis
  - PTC applied to SuperKEKB is under development



Figure 2: Both Rings of  $DA\Phi NE$ .

E. Forest et al., EPAC'06, Jun. 2006

#### 3. Benchmark: Parameters at IP with $\delta$ =0.

#### Bmad:

βx=0.031935787m, αx=0.5912462E-3, vx=44.530088,

sler-1689

```
Dx=0.20343695E-6m, D'x=0.16998011E-4,
```

```
βy=2.6352853E-4m, αy=-0.3626459E-3, vy=46.56811,
```

Dy=-0.14648086E-7m, D'y=0.20250707E-4,

#### SAD:

```
βx=0.032m, αx=-4.05E-11, vx=44.53,
Dx=-2.08E-13m, D'x=-1.84E-12,
βy=2.7E-4m, αy=-2.22E-11, vy=46.57,
Dy=1.856E-14m, D'y=-8.34E-12,
```

#### PTC:

```
βx=0.0338427m, αx=0.0279223, vx=44.53119,
Dx=0.0006m, D'x=-0.003632,
βy=2.682E-4m, αy=0.1103326, vy=46.57137,
Dy=9.98E-7m, D'y=-4.14E-4,
```

#### 3. Benchmark: NuX



#### 3. Benchmark: NuY





#### 3. Benchmark: BetaX





#### 3. Benchmark: AlphaX



#### 3. Benchmark: BetaY





#### 3. Benchmark: AlphaY



SAD — Bmad -----PTC -----

2.5

3

3.5

4

## 3. Benchmark: Dispersion-X



#### 3. Benchmark: Dispersion-X-Prime





#### 3. Benchmark: Dispersion-Y





#### 3. Benchmark: Dispersion-Y-Prime





# 4. Future work for SuperKEKB

#### Self-consistency of present simulations

 Double-check & Benchmark did not reveal serious problems

> Nonlinear lattice analysis for SuperKEKB

- Y. Zhang's idea: no progress yet
- PTC: lattice translation and benchmark look good

(discrepancies to be understood), we can move to next step of calculating nonlinear terms.

## > Other ideas

• E. Forest wants to: 1) look into the details of solenoid effects; 2) construct double rings with common IR.

• Y. Wang from J. Gao's group of IHEP will visit KEK (Oct. 2015), he may continue Y. Zhang's idea, even consider reconfiguration of IR (refer to Oide's idea for FCC-ee?)