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Motivation

- Naturalness problem in the Standard Model

\[ \delta m_h^2 \sim \frac{y_t^2}{16\pi^2} \Lambda^2 \sim (100 \text{ GeV})^2 \] ?

\( \Lambda \sim 1 \text{ TeV} \) or fine tuning

not large enough to suppress dangerous higher dimensional operators

New physics

Cancellation?

e.g. Supersymmetry
Little Higgs

or String Landscape...?

Can we somehow test it experimentally?

LHC
Let me remind you of the SM Higgs searches at LHC
SM Higgs production at LHC

Gluon fusion

Weak boson fusion

$\sigma(pp \rightarrow H+X)$
$\sqrt{s} = 14 \text{ TeV}$
$m_t = 175 \text{ GeV}$
CTEQ4M

M. Spira et al.
NLO QCD

$\sigma (pb)$

events for $10^5 \text{ pb}^{-1}$

$m_H (\text{GeV})$ (Marc Escalier DIS05)
SM Higgs discovery potential
If there is “New physics” which cancels the $\Lambda^2$ induced by top to solve the naturalness problem,

the “New Physics” likely affects gluon fusion process.

Since gluon fusion process is one of important production mechanisms of the SM Higgs, a study of the Higgs production and decay in this kind of “New Physics“ will be very important.
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Little Higgs model with T-parity

Little Higgs mechanism (collective symmetry breaking)

Higgs boson is a pseudo Nambu-Goldstone boson which is light because of approximate global symmetries.

Global symmetries are broken explicitly by two sets of interactions.

\[ \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 + \lambda_1 \mathcal{L}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathcal{L}_2 \]

The Higgs is a massless when either set of the interactions is absent:

\[ \delta m_H^2 \sim \left( \frac{\lambda_1^2}{16\pi^2} \right) \left( \frac{\lambda_2^2}{16\pi^2} \right) \Lambda^2 \]

\[ \sim O(100) \text{GeV for } \Lambda \sim 10 \text{ TeV} \]

Arkani-Hamed, Cohen, Georgi hep-ph/0105239
Littlest Higgs models

SU(5)/SO(5) non-linear sigma model

$$SU(5) \xrightarrow{f} SO(5)$$

$$\Sigma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The VEV breaks $$[SU(2) \times U(1)]_1 \times [SU(2) \times U(1)]_2$$ to $$SU(2) \times U(1)$$

$$\Sigma = \exp(i\Pi/f)\Sigma_0$$

$$\lambda_1 \mathcal{L}_1 \quad \lambda_2 \mathcal{L}_2$$

$$\Pi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & H \sqrt{2} \\ \Phi^* \sqrt{2} & 0 \\ H^\dagger \sqrt{2} & 0 \\ \Phi \end{pmatrix}$$

Higgs is exact NG boson under either SU(3)

T-parity

$$SU(2)_1 \times U(1)_1 \leftrightarrow SU(2)_2 \times U(1)_2$$

SM particles $$\rightarrow$$ +SM particles

$$(W_H, Z_H, A_H, \Phi) \rightarrow -(W_H, Z_H, A_H, \Phi)$$

Contributions to EW observables are loop suppressed.

The new particle scale $$f$$ can be much lower than 1 TeV.

The lightest T-odd particle can be a good dark matter candidate

Talk by Asano-san
**New Higgs interactions and gluon fusion process at 1-loop**

T-parity: \( q_i \ (i = 1, 2) \) doublet under SU(2)_i  

T-even: SM doublet  

T-odd: T-parity partner: need a heavy mass

**Mass term for T-odd doublet**  

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{odd}} = -\kappa f (\bar{\Psi}_2 \xi + \bar{\Psi}_1 \Sigma_0 \Omega \xi^\dagger \Omega) \Psi_c + \text{h.c.}
\]

\( \Psi_1 = (q_1, 0, 0, 0)^T \), \( \Psi_2 = (0, 0, 0, q_2)^T \) : doublets in incomplete SU(5) multiplets  

\( \Psi_c = (\tilde{q}_R, \chi_R, q_R)^T \) : SO(5) multiplet transforming nonlinearly under SU(5)  

\( \xi = \exp[i\Pi/f] \)  

Note \( \xi \) contains Higgs boson \( h \)

![Diagram](image-url)
Top Yukawa interaction

\[ \mathcal{L}_t = -\frac{\lambda_1}{2\sqrt{2}} f \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{xy} \left[ (\bar{Q}_1)_i \Sigma_{jx} \Sigma_{ky} - (\bar{Q}_2 \Sigma_0)_i \tilde{\Sigma}_{jx} \tilde{\Sigma}_{ky} \right] u_R \]

\[ -\lambda_2 f (\bar{U}_1 U_{R_1} + \bar{U}_2 U_{R_2}) + \text{h.c.} \]

\( Q_1 = (q_1, U_1, 0, 0)^T, \quad Q_2 = (0, 0, U_2, q_2)^T \)

\( \Sigma \rightarrow \tilde{\Sigma} \equiv \Sigma_0 \Omega \Sigma^\dagger \Omega \Sigma_0 \)

The large quadratic divergence induced by top is canceled by the heavy fermionic partner of the top-quark, T

\[ \frac{\delta A(\text{top sector})}{A(\text{top in SM})} = -\frac{3}{4} \frac{v_{SM}^2}{f^2} \]
Correction to Higgs production cross section via gluon fusion process

\[ \delta \sigma_{gg \to h} = \sigma^{LH}_{gg \to h} - \sigma^{SM}_{gg \to h} \]

\[ \frac{\delta \sigma_{gg \to h}}{\sigma^{SM}_{gg \to h}} \approx -3 \frac{v^2_{SM}}{f^2} \approx \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
-37\% & \text{for } f = 700 \text{ GeV}, \\
-18\% & \text{for } f = 1000 \text{ GeV}.
\end{array} \right. \]

for small \( m_h \)

The production cross section can be significantly suppressed
Other Higgs production and decay processes

**Production**

weak boson fusion (VV)

\[ \begin{align*} 
q & \quad W, Z \quad q \\
q & \quad W, Z \quad q \\
\end{align*} \]

Higgs interactions with SM gauge bosons (V=W, Z)

\[ \frac{g_{hVV}^{SM}}{g_{hVV}} \simeq 1 - \frac{1}{4} \frac{v_{SM}^2}{f^2} \simeq \begin{cases} 
0.97 & \text{for } f = 700 \text{ GeV}, \\
0.98 & \text{for } f = 1000 \text{ GeV}.
\end{cases} \]

**Decay**

Up-type quark Yukawas (1st and 2nd generations)

\[ \frac{g_{huu}^{SM}}{g_{huu}} \simeq 1 - \frac{3}{4} \frac{v_{SM}^2}{f^2} \simeq \begin{cases} 
0.90 & \text{for } f = 700 \text{ GeV}, \\
0.95 & \text{for } f = 1000 \text{ GeV}.
\end{cases} \]

Down-type quark Yukawas

\[ \frac{g_{hdd}^{SM}}{g_{hdd}} \simeq 1 - \frac{5}{4} \frac{v_{SM}^2}{f^2} \simeq \begin{cases} 
0.97 & \text{for } f = 700 \text{ GeV}, \\
0.99 & \text{for } f = 1000 \text{ GeV},
\end{cases} \]

(We consider the same Yukawa structures in lepton sector, as in quark sector.)

all Higgs interactions are modified
Down-type quark Yukawa couplings

\[ \mathcal{L}_{\text{down}} = \frac{i \lambda_d f}{2 \sqrt{2}} \epsilon_{ij} \epsilon_{xyz} \left[ (\bar{\Psi}'_2)_x \Sigma_{iy} \Sigma_{jz} X - (\bar{\Psi}'_1 \Sigma_0)_x \tilde{\Sigma}_{iy} \tilde{\Sigma}_{jz} \tilde{X} \right] d_R \]

\[ \Psi'_1 = (-\sigma_2 q_1, 0, 0, 0)^T, \Psi'_2 = (0, 0, 0, -\sigma_2 q_2)^T \]

In order to be gauge invariant, \( X \) has to be a singlet under SU(2) and its U(1) charges have to be \((Y_1, Y_2) = (-1/10, 1/10)\).

Here we consider the following two cases:

\( X = (\Sigma_{33})^{-1/4} \) (denoted as Case A)

\( X = (\Sigma_{33}^\dagger)^{1/4} \) (denoted as Case B)

Down-type quark Yukawa couplings are modified from those in the SM

\[ g_{hdd}^{SM} \sim 1 - \frac{1}{4} \frac{v_{SM}^2}{f^2} \sim \begin{cases} 0.97 & \text{for } f = 700 \text{ GeV}, \\ 0.99 & \text{for } f = 1000 \text{ GeV}, \end{cases} \text{ for Case A,} \]

\[ g_{hdd} \sim 1 - \frac{5}{4} \frac{v_{SM}^2}{f^2} \sim \begin{cases} 0.84 & \text{for } f = 700 \text{ GeV}, \\ 0.92 & \text{for } f = 1000 \text{ GeV}, \end{cases} \text{ for Case B.} \]

The down-type Yukawa couplings can be significantly reduced in Case B.

We consider the same Yukawa structures in lepton sector, as in quark sector.

Jay Hubisz
Higgs total decay width normalized by the SM value

\[ \frac{\Gamma_h^{\text{LH}}}{\Gamma_h^{\text{SM}}} \]

\( f = 700 \text{ GeV} \)

\( b\bar{b}, \tau^+\tau^- \) decay modes are dominant.
Higgs decay branching ratios, normalized by the SM values

In Case B, because of the largely reduced total decay width in small Higgs mass region, some of the Higgs boson decay branching ratios are increased.
\[ R_{\sigma}(X) = \frac{\sigma^{LH}(X)}{\sigma^{SM}(X)} \quad R_{BR}(Y) = \frac{BR^{LH}(Y)}{BR^{SM}(Y)} \]

\[ R_{\sigma}(X) \times R_{BR}(Y) \quad \text{for } f = (600, 700, 1000) \text{ GeV} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( m_h = 120 \text{ GeV} )</th>
<th>( R_{BR}(\gamma\gamma) )</th>
<th>( R_{BR}(\tau\tau) )</th>
<th>( R_{BR}(b\bar{b}) )</th>
<th>( R_{BR}(VV) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( R_{\sigma(gg)} ) (Case A)</td>
<td>0.57, 0.68, 0.84</td>
<td>0.56, 0.67, 0.83</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.55, 0.66, 0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Case B)</td>
<td>0.81, 0.86, 0.93</td>
<td>0.51, 0.63, 0.81</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.78, 0.84, 0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_{\sigma(VV)} ) (Case A)</td>
<td>0.97, 0.98, 0.99</td>
<td>0.95, 0.96, 0.98</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.94, 0.96, 0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Case B)</td>
<td>1.34, 1.22, 1.09</td>
<td>0.84, 0.89, 0.95</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.30, 1.19, 1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_{\sigma(t\bar{t}h)} ) (Case A)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.87, 0.90, 0.95</td>
<td>0.87, 0.90, 0.95</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Case B)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.77, 0.83, 0.92</td>
<td>0.77, 0.83, 0.92</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_{\sigma(Vh)} ) (Case A)</td>
<td>0.97, 0.98, 0.99</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.95, 0.96, 0.98</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Case B)</td>
<td>1.34, 1.22, 1.09</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.84, 0.89, 0.95</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( m_h = 200 \text{ GeV} )</th>
<th>( R_{BR}(\gamma\gamma) )</th>
<th>( R_{BR}(\tau\tau) )</th>
<th>( R_{BR}(b\bar{b}) )</th>
<th>( R_{BR}(VV) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( R_{\sigma(gg)} ) (Case A)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.55, 0.67, 0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Case B)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.56, 0.67, 0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_{\sigma(VV)} ) (Case A)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.90, 0.94, 0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Case B)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.90, 0.94, 0.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Higgs production via gluon fusion is suppressed.
- \( \gamma\gamma, VV \) decay modes via weak boson fusion can be enhanced in small Higgs mass region in Case B.
Expected relative error on the determination of $\sigma \times BR$ for various Higgs search channels at the LHC with $200 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ of data

Zeppenfeld hep-ph/0203123

Needless to say, the improvement of the theoretical calculation is always important.
**Summary**

**Littlest Higgs model with T-parity**

★ The fermionic partner of top quark (T) cancels the large quantum correction to the Higgs mass parameter. ➔ solve the “naturalness problem”.

★ T-parity avoids strong constraints from EW precision data. ➔ new particle mass scale $f$ much smaller than 1 TeV is still allowed.

★ T-parity introduces new T-odd fermions which need the heavy masses. ➔ the mass terms also generate new Higgs interactions.

$T$ and $T$-odd fermions affect significantly Higgs production via gluon fusion process if the scale $f$ is smaller than 1 TeV.

$$\frac{\delta \sigma_{gg\rightarrow h}}{\sigma_{gg\rightarrow h}^{\text{SM}}} \simeq -0.45 \ (-0.35, -0.2) \text{ for } f = 600 \ (700, 1000) \text{ GeV}$$

In new physics which cancels the large correction to Higgs mass induced by top quark, the Higgs production via gluon fusion will be modified by the new physics contributions, in general.
Summary

★ All Higgs interactions are modified because the Higgs is originated from the non-linear sigma model field.

=all other Higgs production channels and decay modes are modified from the SM values

\[
\frac{\sigma(VV) \times BR(\gamma\gamma , VV)_{\text{LH}}}{\sigma(VV) \times BR(\gamma\gamma , VV)_{\text{SM}}} \sim 1 \text{ in Case A}
\]

\[
\sim 1.3 \text{ for } m_h = 120 \text{ GeV and } f = 600 \text{ GeV in Case B}.
\]

The discovery modes of Higgs boson produced via weak boson fusion will become more important in the LH than in the SM.

Searches for Higgs in various detection modes at the LHC will be very important to reveal the mechanism which solves the naturalness problem in the SM.