Radiative corrections to electroweak parameters in the Higgs Triplet Model and implication with the recent Higgs boson searches at LHC

## Kei Yagyu (Univ. of Toyama)

Collaborator: Shinya Kanemura (Univ. of Toyama)

S. Kanemura, K. Yagyu, arXiv: 1201.6287 [hep-ph]

Physics Opportunities with LHC at 7 TeV, KEK, Feb. 17<sup>th</sup> 2012

## Introduction

#### The Higgs sector is unknown.

- Minimal? or Non-minimal?
- The Higgs boson search is underway at the LHC.
  - The Higgs boson mass is constrained to be
    - 115 GeV < mh < 127 GeV or mh > 600 GeV.
- By the combination with electroweak precision data at the LEP, we may expect that a light Higgs boson exists.
- There are phenomena which cannot explain in the SM.
  - Tiny neutrino masses
  - Existence of dark matter
  - Baryon asymmetry of the Universe
- New physics may explain these phenomena above the TeV scale.
  - Extended Higgs sectors are often introduced.

Physics of the Higgs sector



New physics beyond the SM

## Explanation by extended Higgs sectors

- Tiny neutrino masses
  - The type II seesaw model
  - Radiative seesaw models

(e.g. Zee model)

- Dark matter
  - Higgs sector with the discrete symmetry
- Baryon asymmetry of the Universe
  - Electroweak baryogenesis



#### Introduce extended Higgs sectors

SU(2) doublet Higgs + Singlet [U(1)<sub>B-L</sub> model] + Doublet [Inert doublet model]

+ Triplet [Type II seesaw model], etc...

How we can constrain these possibilities?



## Constraint from the rho parameter

★ The experimental value of the rho parameter is quite close to
 ♥<sup>i</sup>♥ediction of the rho parameter strongly depends on the structure of the extended Higgs sector.





How the  $\rho$  parameter is calculated in both classes of models at the loop level.

## Models with $\rho = 1$ at the tree level

The electroweak parameters are described by the 3 (+2) input parameters.

We can choose  $\alpha_{em}$ ,  $G_F$  and  $m_Z$  as the 3 input parameters.

 $\alpha_{em}(mz) = 128.903 \pm 0.0015$   $G_F = 1.16637 \pm 0.00001 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$  $m_z = 91.1876 \pm 0.0021 \text{ GeV}$ 

The other parameters can be written in terms of the above 3 inputs.



## **On-shell renormalization scheme**



From these 5 conditions, 5 counter terms ( $\delta g$ ,  $\delta g'$ ,  $\delta v$ ,  $\delta Z_B$ ,  $\delta Z_W$ ) are determined.

## Radiative corrections to the EW parameters

The deviation form 
$$m_W^2 s_W^2 = \frac{\pi \alpha_{em}}{\sqrt{2}G_F}$$
  
can be parametrized as:  

$$m_W^2 s_W^2 = \frac{\pi \alpha_{em}}{\sqrt{2}G_F} (1 + \Delta r)$$

$$\Delta r = -\frac{\delta G_F}{G_F} + \frac{\delta \alpha_{em}}{\alpha_{em}} - \frac{\delta s_W^2}{s_W^2} - \frac{\delta m_W^2}{m_W^2}$$
From the renormalization conditions;  

$$\frac{\delta \alpha_{em}}{\alpha_{em}} = \frac{d}{dp^2} \Pi_T^{\gamma\gamma}(p^2) \Big|_{p^2=0} + \frac{2s_W}{c_W} \frac{\Pi_T^{\gamma Z}(0)}{m_Z^2}$$

$$\frac{\delta G_F}{G_F} = -\frac{\Pi_T^{WW}(0)}{m_W^2} - \delta_{VB}$$

$$\frac{\delta m_W^2}{m_W^2} = \frac{\Pi_T^{WW}(m_W^2)}{m_W^2}$$

In models with  $\rho = 1$  at the tree level,  $\mathbf{s_w}^2$  is the dependent parameter. Therefore, the counter term for  $\delta s_w^2$  is given by the other conditions.

This part represents the violation of the custodial symmetry by the sector which is running in the loop.

## Scalar boson and fermion loop contributions to $\Delta r$

$$\begin{split} \Delta r_{\rho=1} &= \frac{\Pi_T^{WW}(0) - \Pi_T^{WW}(m_W^2)}{m_W^2} + \frac{d}{dp^2} \Pi_T^{\gamma\gamma}(p^2) \Big|_{p^2=0} + \frac{2s_W}{c_W} \frac{\Pi_T^{\gamma Z}(0)}{m_Z^2} + \delta_{VB} \\ &- \frac{c_W^2}{s_W^2} \left[ \frac{\Pi_T^{ZZ}(m_Z^2)}{m_Z^2} - \frac{\Pi_T^{WW}(m_W^2)}{m_Z^2} \right] \right] \quad \sim \mathbf{\rho} - \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{\alpha}_{\text{em}} \mathbf{T} \end{split}$$

$$\simeq \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left[ \frac{(m_t - m_b)^2}{m_W^2} + \frac{(m_{H^+} - m_A)^2}{m_W^2} - \ln m_h^2 \right]$$

Peskin, Wells (2001); Grimus, Lavoura, Ogreid, Osland (2008); Kanemura, Okada, Taniguchi, Tsumura (2011).

(In the case of the two Higgs doublet model)



Dependence of the **quadratic mass splitting** among particles in the same isospin multiplet appears in the T (rho) parameter.



## Previous works and motivation of our work

- In models with  $\rho \neq 1$  at the tree level, the renormalization scheme is different from that in models with  $\rho=1$  at the tree level.
- In the model with the Y=0 Higgs triplet field, one-loop corrections to the electroweak parameters have been studied in Blank, Hollik (1997), Chen, Dawson (2004) etc.

## NEW

- We first study one-loop corrections to the electroweak precision parameters in the Y = 1 Higgs Triplet Model which is introduced in the type II seesaw mechanism. We then discuss how the model can be constrained by the data.
- Under this constraint, we discuss the implication with the recent Higgs boson searches at the LHC.

### The type II seesaw model (The Y=1 Higgs Triplet Model)

The Higgs triplet field  $\Delta$  (Y = 1) is added to the SM.

$$\mathcal{L}_{ ext{typeII}} = h_{ij} \overline{L_L^{ci}} \cdot \Delta L_L^j + \mu \Phi \cdot \Delta^\dagger \Phi + \cdots$$

Cheng, Li (1980); Schechter, Valle, (1980); Magg, Wetterich, (1980); Lazarides, Shafi, Wetterich, (1981); Mohapatra, Senjanovic, (1981).



When we consider the TeV scale  $M_{\Delta}$ , the L# violating coupling  $\mu$  has to be of O(10<sup>-10</sup>) GeV.

## Models with $\rho \neq 1$ at the tree level

The electroweak parameters are described by the 4 (+2) input parameters.

$$\begin{split} & \bigoplus \quad \boxed{g, g', v_{\oplus}, v_{A} + (Z_{B}, Z_{W})} \\ & \textit{Blank, Hollik (1997)} \\ & \textit{Blank, Hollik (1997)} \\ & \textit{We can choose } \alpha_{em}, \mathbf{G}_{F}, \mathbf{m}_{Z} \text{ and } \hat{s}_{W}^{2} \text{ as the input parameters.}} \\ & \hat{s}_{W}^{2} \text{ is defined by the effective Zee vertex:} \\ & \mathcal{L} = \bar{e} \frac{g}{2\hat{c}_{W}} (v_{e}\gamma_{\mu} - a_{e}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5})eZ^{\mu} \\ & \mathcal{L} = -4\hat{s}_{W}^{2}(m_{Z}) = \frac{\operatorname{Re}(v_{e})}{\operatorname{Re}(a_{e})} \\ & 1 - 4\hat{s}_{W}^{2}(m_{Z}) = \frac{\operatorname{Re}(v_{e})}{\operatorname{Re}(a_{e})} \\ & \hat{s}_{W}^{2} = 0.23146 \pm 0.00012 \end{split}$$

The other parameters are determined as:

$$v^2 = v_{\Phi}^2 + 2v_{\Delta}^2 = rac{1}{\sqrt{2}G_F} \hspace{0.5cm} m_W^2 = rac{\pilpha_{
m em}}{\sqrt{2}G_F \hat{s}_W^2} \hspace{0.5cm} v_{\Delta}^2 = rac{\hat{s}_W^2(1-\hat{s}_W^2)}{2\pilpha_{
m em}} m_Z^2 - rac{\sqrt{2}}{4G_F}$$

## Radiative corrections to EW parameters in models with $\rho \neq 1$ at the tree level

In the model with  $\rho \neq 1$ :  $s_w^2$  is an independent parameter.  $\rightarrow$  Additional renormalization condition is necessary. Blank, Hollik (1997)

$$\left. \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ e^{+} & & \\ & & \\ e^{+} & & \\ & & \\ e^{-} & & \\ & & \\ e^{+} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ e^{-} & & \\ &$$

$$\begin{split} \Delta r_{\rho \neq 1} &= \frac{\Pi_T^{WW}(0) - \Pi_T^{WW}(m_W^2)}{m_W^2} + \frac{d}{dp^2} \Pi_T^{\gamma \gamma}(p^2) \Big|_{p^2 = 0} + \frac{2\hat{s}_W}{\hat{c}_W} \frac{\Pi_T^{\gamma Z}(0)}{m_Z^2} + \delta_{VB} \\ &+ \frac{\hat{c}_W}{\hat{s}_W} \frac{\Pi_T^{\gamma Z}(m_Z^2)}{m_Z^2} + \delta'_V \end{split}$$

$$\simeq rac{1}{16\pi^2} \left[ \ln m_t^2 + \ln m_{\Delta ext{-like}}^2 + \ln m_h^2 
ight]$$

By the renormalization of  $\delta s_w^2$ , quadratic depndence of the mass splitting disappear.

$$\rho = \frac{\pi \alpha_{\rm em}}{\sqrt{2}G_F m_Z^2 \hat{s}_W^2 \hat{c}_W^2} (1 + \Delta r)$$

$$m_W^2 = \frac{\pi \alpha_{\rm em}}{\sqrt{2}G_F \hat{s}_W^2} (1 + \Delta r)$$

## Higgs potential in the HTM

**Higgs potential** 

$$V = m^{2} \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi + M^{2} \text{Tr}(\Delta^{\dagger} \Delta) + \left[ \mu \Phi^{T} i \tau_{2} \Delta^{\dagger} \Phi + \text{h.c.} \right]$$
$$+ \lambda_{1} (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi)^{2} + \lambda_{2} \left[ \text{Tr}(\Delta^{\dagger} \Delta) \right]^{2} + \lambda_{3} \text{Tr}[(\Delta^{\dagger} \Delta)^{2}]$$
$$+ \lambda_{4} (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi) \text{Tr}(\Delta^{\dagger} \Delta) + \lambda_{5} \Phi^{\dagger} \Delta \Delta^{\dagger} \Phi.$$

$$egin{aligned} \Phi &= \left[ egin{aligned} arphi^+ & \ rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(arphi+v+i\chi) \end{array} 
ight] \ \Delta &= \left( egin{aligned} rac{\Delta^+}{\sqrt{2}} & \Delta^{++} \ \Delta^0 & -rac{\Delta^+}{\sqrt{2}} \end{array} 
ight) \ \Delta^0 &= rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\delta+v_\Delta+i\eta) \end{aligned}$$

Mass eigenstates:

(SM-like) h, (Triplet-like) H<sup>±±</sup>, H<sup>±</sup>, H, A

Mass spectrum:

ass spectrum: 
$$m_h^2 \simeq 2\lambda_1 v^2$$
  
 $M_\Delta^2 \equiv rac{v^2 \mu}{\sqrt{2} v_\Delta}$   $m_{H^{++}}^2 \simeq M_\Delta^2 - rac{v^2}{2} \lambda_5$   
 $m_{H^+}^2 \simeq M_\Delta^2 - rac{v^2}{4} \lambda_5$   
 $m_A^2 \simeq m_H^2 = M_\Delta^2$ 



We discuss the constraint from the electroweak precision data In both Case I and Case II.

## Heavy mass limit



When we take heavy mass limit, loop effects of the triplet-like scalar bosons disappear. Even in such a case, the prediction does not coincide with the SM prediction.

#### Prediction to the rho parameter at the 1-loop level

Kanemura, Yagyu, arXiv: 1201.6287 [hep-ph]



 $\mathbf{v}_{\Delta}$  is calculated according to the tree level relation:

$$v_{\Delta}^2 = rac{\hat{s}_W^2(1-\hat{s}_W^2)}{2\pilpha_{ ext{em}}}m_Z^2 - rac{\sqrt{2}}{4G_F}$$

In Case I with mH<sup>++</sup> = 150 GeV, 100 GeV <  $|\Delta m|$  < 400 GeV and 3 GeV < v $\Delta$  < 8 GeV is allowed. Case II is highly constrained by the rho parameter data.

#### Kanemura, Yagyu, arXiv: 1201.6287 [hep-ph] Prediction to the W boson mass at the 1-loop level



#### Kanemura, Yagyu, arXiv: 1201.6287 [hep-ph]



The decay rate of  $h \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  is around half in the HTM compared with that in the SM.

## Summary

- Electroweak precision data (rho,  $m_W$ , ...) can be constrained to the structure of extended Higgs sectors.
- In models with ρ ≠ 1 at the tree level, 4 input parameters are necessary to describe the electroweak parameters. → An additional renormalization condition is required to renormalize the electroweak parameters.
- Case II is strongly constrained by the electroweak precision data.
- In Case I with mH<sup>++</sup> ~ 150 GeV, |Δm|~ several 100 GeV and vΔ~ O(1) GeV is favored by the data.
- In the allowed parameter regions by the data, the decay rate of  $h \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  is around 50% in the HTM compared to that in the SM.
- In the case with the mass splitting, **cascade decays** of the triplet-like scalar bosons can be important. By measuring the **transverse mass distributions** of such decay products, the mass spectrum may be reconstructed, and we may be able to test the HTM at the LHC.

# Phenomenology of HTM with the mass splitting at the LHC

Aoki, Kanemura, Yagyu , Phys. Rev. D, in press (2011)



By using the  $M_T$  distribution, we may reconstruct the mass spectrum of  $\Delta$ -like scalar bosons.  $\rightarrow$  We would test the Higgs potential in the HTM.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm kin} = (D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger} (D^{\mu}\Phi) + {\rm Tr}[(D_{\mu}\Delta)^{\dagger} (D^{\mu}\Delta)]$$

**共変微分** 
$$D_{\mu}\Phi = \left(\partial_{\mu} + i\frac{g}{2}\tau^{a}W_{\mu}^{a} + i\frac{g'}{2}B_{\mu}\right)\Phi$$
$$D_{\mu}\Delta = \partial_{\mu}\Delta + i\frac{g}{2}[\tau^{a}W_{\mu}^{a}, \Delta] + ig'B_{\mu}\Delta$$
**真空期待値**
$$\left\langle\Phi\right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}0\\v_{\Phi}\end{pmatrix}$$
$$\left\langle\Delta\right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}0&0\\v_{\Delta}&0\end{pmatrix}$$

ゲージボソン  
質量
$$m_W^2 = \frac{g^2}{4} (v_{\Phi}^2 + 2v_{\Delta}^2) \qquad m_Z^2 = \frac{g^2}{4\cos^2\theta_W} (v_{\Phi}^2 + 4v_{\Delta}^2)$$

$$\rho = \frac{m_W^2}{m^2 \cos^2\theta_W} = \frac{1 + \frac{2v_{\Delta}^2}{v_{\Phi}^2}}{1 + \frac{2v_{\Delta}^2}{4v_{\Phi}^2}} \simeq 1 - \frac{2v_{\Delta}^2}{v_{\Phi}^2}$$

$$ho_{\scriptscriptstyle \perp} \equiv rac{m_W^2}{m_Z^2 \cos^2 heta_W} = rac{1+v_\Phi^2}{1+rac{4v_\Delta^2}{v_\Phi^2}} \simeq 1-rac{2v_\Delta^2}{v_\Phi^2}$$

## mh = 700 GeV case





## **Custrodial Symmetry**

The Higgs doublet can be written by the 2×2 matrix form

 $SU(2)_L = SU(2)_R = SU(2)_V の対称性が残る。これをカストディアル対称性という。$ 

## Transverse mass analysis



## Exotic Higgs $\Phi^{++}$ : EPS Results

- Arises in models with extra Higgs triplets
  - Φ<sup>++</sup>, Φ<sup>+</sup>, Φ<sup>0</sup>
- Triplet responsible for small neutrino mass
- Unknown neutrino mass matrix
   → unknown branching ratios → broad search
- Below M ≈2M<sub>W</sub>, only leptonic decays

#### V. Sharma, Lepton Photon 2011



## Branching ratio of H<sup>++</sup>



Branching ratios of H<sup>+</sup>, H and A



## **Constraints to extended Higgs sectors**

• Non-minimal Higgs sectors

 $SU(2) \text{ doublet} + \begin{bmatrix} SU(2) \text{ singlets} \\ SU(2) \text{ doublets} \\ SU(2) \text{ triplets} & Etc... \end{bmatrix} Ex. MSSM \rightarrow 2HDM \\ Radiative seesaw models \rightarrow 2HDM + singlets \\ Type II seesaw model \rightarrow triplet \end{bmatrix}$ 

There are many possibilities of non-minimal Higgs sectors.

- Constraints to extended Higgs sectors
  - $\star$  Electroweak precision observables
    - The rho parameter
    - The W boson mass, ...
  - $\star$  Flavor experiments
    - Lepton flavor violation experiments ( $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma, \mu \rightarrow eee, ...$ )
    - Quark flavor violation experiments (b  $\rightarrow$  sy, KO-KO mixing, ...)

In this talk, we focus on the constraint from the electroweak precision data.

## Generation mechanisms for neutrino masses

Majorana masses of neutrinos are given by **the dimension 5 operator**, in which **2 units of lepton number are broken**.



What kind of NP models for generating neutrino masses are there ?



## Extended Higgs sectors and neutrino masses

Tiny neutrino masses can be generated through dynamics of extended Higgs sectors at the TeV scale .

- ★ Radiative seesaw models : Neutrino masses can be generated at the loop level, where additional scalar bosons are running in the loop.
- **\star** Type-II seesaw model: The Higgs triplet field is added to the SM.



## Radiative seesaw models

Neutrino masses are generated at the loop level.



Thanks for the loop factor,  $M_{\Phi}$  (e.g., charged Higgs mass) can be taken to be TeV scale without finetuning in Yukawa couplings.

## Variation for radiative seesaw models



In the latter three models, a lightest  $Z_2$ -odd particle can be a dark matter candidate.

## Constraint to extended Higgs sectors

- There are two important experimental results.
  - 1. The electroweak rho parameter is quite close to unity.
  - 2. FCNC processes are suppressed.

SU(2) doublet Higgs

- + Singlet [Both 1 and 2 are satisfied.]
- + Doublet [To satisfy 2, Z<sub>2</sub> symmetry is imposed]
- + Triplet [To satisfy both 1 and 2, parameter tuning is necessary.]

In this talk, we focus on the constraint from the electroweak precision measurement.