

# The primordial curvature perturbation

David H. Lyth

Particle theory and cosmology group

Physics Department

Lancaster University

# Plan of the talk

1. Statistical properties of the primordial curvature perturbation.  
Generation from scalar field perturbations.
2. Statistical anisotropy from vector field contributions.

# LSS — our window on early universe

- Observation of large scale structure is our main window on early universe.
  - CMB anisotropy + galaxy distribution and bulk flow

# LSS — our window on early universe

- Observation of **large scale structure** is our main window on early universe.
  - CMB anisotropy + galaxy distribution and bulk flow
- Can predict LSS in terms of primordial curvature perturbation  $\zeta(\mathbf{x})$  existing at  $T \sim 1$  MeV.
  - So  $\zeta(\mathbf{x})$  is an observable.

# LSS — our window on early universe

- Observation of **large scale structure** is our main window on early universe.
  - CMB anisotropy + galaxy distribution and bulk flow
- Can predict LSS in terms of primordial curvature perturbation  $\zeta(\mathbf{x})$  existing at  $T \sim 1$  MeV.
  - So  **$\zeta(\mathbf{x})$  is an observable**.
- Other effects  $< 10\%$ , probably  $\simeq 0$ 
  - tensor perturbation, cosmic strings, textures, isocurvature (matter or  $\nu$ ) perturbation.

# Defining the curvature perturbation $\zeta$

No restriction to first order cosmological perturbation theory  
Second order needed if non-gaussianity parameter  $|f_{\text{NL}}| \lesssim 10$ .

# Defining the curvature perturbation $\zeta$

No restriction to first order cosmological perturbation theory

Second order needed if non-gaussianity parameter  $|f_{\text{NL}}| \lesssim 10$ .

- Unperturbed universe:  $ds^2 = -dt^2 + g_{ij}dx^i dx^j$ ,  $g_{ij} = a^2(t)\delta_{ij}$
- Perturbed universe:

$$g_{ij} = a^2(t)e^{2\zeta(\mathbf{x},t)}\gamma_{ij}(\mathbf{x},t), \quad \|\gamma\| = 1, \quad \langle \zeta \rangle = 0.$$

threading comoving, slicing uniform energy density  $\rho(t)$

(1)

- Local scale factor  $a(\mathbf{x},t) \equiv a(t)e^{\zeta(\mathbf{x},t)}$ , volume  $d\mathcal{V} \propto a^3(\mathbf{x},t)$ .

# Defining the curvature perturbation $\zeta$

No restriction to first order cosmological perturbation theory

Second order needed if non-gaussianity parameter  $|f_{\text{NL}}| \lesssim 10$ .

- Unperturbed universe:  $ds^2 = -dt^2 + g_{ij}dx^i dx^j$ ,  $g_{ij} = a^2(t)\delta_{ij}$
- Perturbed universe:

$$g_{ij} = a^2(t)e^{2\zeta(\mathbf{x},t)}\gamma_{ij}(\mathbf{x},t), \quad \|\gamma\| = 1, \quad \langle \zeta \rangle = 0.$$

threading comoving, slicing uniform energy density  $\rho(t)$

(0)

- Local scale factor  $a(\mathbf{x},t) \equiv a(t)e^{\zeta(\mathbf{x},t)}$ , volume  $d\mathcal{V} \propto a^3(\mathbf{x},t)$ .
- Derivation of  $\delta N$  formula

$$\dot{\zeta} = \frac{\dot{a}(\mathbf{x},t)}{a(\mathbf{x},t)} - \frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)}, \quad N(\mathbf{x},t,t_1) \equiv \int_{t_1}^t dt \frac{\dot{a}(\mathbf{x},t)}{a(\mathbf{x},t)}$$

$$\zeta(\mathbf{x},t) - \zeta(\mathbf{x},t_1) = \delta N(\mathbf{x},t,t_1)$$



# Time dependence of $\zeta$

- We smooth  $\zeta$  on some scale  $L$  shorter than any of interest.
- Interested in  $\zeta$  only before horizon entry,  $L \gg (aH)^{-1}$ .

# Time dependence of $\zeta$

- We smooth  $\zeta$  on some scale  $L$  shorter than any of interest.
- Interested in  $\zeta$  only before horizon entry,  $L \gg (aH)^{-1}$ .
- Smooth  $\rho$  and  $P$  on scale  $L$ . Local energy continuity equation

$$\dot{\rho}(t) = -\frac{\dot{a}(\mathbf{x}, t)}{a(\mathbf{x}, t)} (\rho(t) + P(t) + \delta P_{\text{nad}})$$

where  $\delta P_{\text{nad}}$  is pressure perturbation on uniform  $\rho$  slicing.

# Time dependence of $\zeta$

- We smooth  $\zeta$  on some scale  $L$  shorter than any of interest.
- Interested in  $\zeta$  only before horizon entry,  $L \gg (aH)^{-1}$ .
- Smooth  $\rho$  and  $P$  on scale  $L$ . Local energy continuity equation

$$\dot{\rho}(t) = -\frac{\dot{a}(\mathbf{x}, t)}{a(\mathbf{x}, t)} (\rho(t) + P(t) + \delta P_{\text{nad}})$$

where  $\delta P_{\text{nad}}$  is pressure perturbation on uniform  $\rho$  slicing.

- If  $P(\rho)$  is unique  $\dot{\zeta} = 0$ .
- 

So  $\dot{\zeta} = 0$  for matter domination and for radiation domination.

# Setting the initial condition for LSS

Consider the epoch  $T \sim 10^{-1} \text{ MeV}$ .

- Universe is radiation dominated and  $\zeta$  has time-independent value  $\zeta(\mathbf{x})$ .
- LSS probes scales (inverse wavenumbers)  
 $e^{-15} H_0^{-1} \lesssim k^{-1} \lesssim H_0^{-1}$ .
- These ‘cosmological scales’ are outside horizon at  $T \sim 10^{-1} \text{ MeV}$ .
- Assume adiabatic initial condition:  
 $\delta\rho_\gamma = \delta\rho_\nu = \delta\rho_B = \delta\rho_{\text{CDM}} = 0$  on the slicing of uniform  $\rho$ .
- Then  $\zeta(\mathbf{x})$  determines subsequent evolution of all perturbations (except tensor mode).

# Spectrum $\mathcal{P}_\zeta(k, t)$

- Work in box  $\gg$  scales of interest.
- Assume  $\langle \zeta(\mathbf{x})\zeta(\mathbf{x}') \rangle$  translation invariant
  - $\langle \rangle$  is average over box location

This is statistical homogeneity

# Spectrum $\mathcal{P}_\zeta(k, t)$

- Work in box  $\gg$  scales of interest.
- Assume  $\langle \zeta(\mathbf{x})\zeta(\mathbf{x}') \rangle$  translation invariant
  - $\langle \rangle$  is average over box location

This is statistical homogeneity

- Define  $\mathcal{P}_\zeta(\mathbf{k})$ :  $\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k}}\zeta_{\mathbf{k}'} \rangle \equiv (2\pi)^3 \delta^3(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}') (\pi^2/k^3) \mathcal{P}_\zeta(\mathbf{k})$ 
  - $\langle \rangle =$  average over cell  $d^3 k d^3 k'$  in one box
- Assume  $\mathcal{P}_\zeta(\mathbf{k})$  depends only on  $k$  (statistical isotropy).

# Spectrum $\mathcal{P}_\zeta(k, t)$

- Work in box  $\gg$  scales of interest.
- Assume  $\langle \zeta(\mathbf{x})\zeta(\mathbf{x}') \rangle$  translation invariant
  - $\langle \rangle$  is average over box location

This is statistical homogeneity

- Define  $\mathcal{P}_\zeta(\mathbf{k})$ :  $\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k}}\zeta_{\mathbf{k}'} \rangle \equiv (2\pi)^3 \delta^3(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}') (\pi^2/k^3) \mathcal{P}_\zeta(\mathbf{k})$ 
  - $\langle \rangle =$  average over cell  $d^3k d^3k'$  in one box
- Assume  $\mathcal{P}_\zeta(\mathbf{k})$  depends only on  $k$  (statistical isotropy).
- Mean-square (volume average) is

$$\langle \zeta^2 \rangle = \int \frac{dk}{k} \mathcal{P}_\zeta(k)$$

# Non-gaussianity of $\zeta$

- Gaussian means no correlation between  $\zeta_{\mathbf{k}}$ 's except that required by  $\zeta_{\mathbf{k}} = \zeta_{-\mathbf{k}}^*$ .



# Non-gaussianity of $\zeta$

- Gaussian means no correlation between  $\zeta_{\mathbf{k}}$ 's except that required by  $\zeta_{\mathbf{k}} = \zeta_{-\mathbf{k}}^*$ .
- Bispectrum:  $\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k}} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}'} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}''} \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta^2(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{k}'') B_{\zeta}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}')$ 
  - Assuming statistical isotropy,  $B_{\zeta} = B_{\zeta}(k, k', k'')$ .

$$f_{\text{NL}}(k, k', k'') \equiv \frac{5}{6} \frac{B_{\zeta}(k, k', k'')}{\frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} \frac{k'^3}{2\pi^2} \mathcal{P}_{\zeta}(k) \mathcal{P}_{\zeta}(k')} + \text{cyclic perms}$$

# Non-gaussianity of $\zeta$

- Gaussian means no correlation between  $\zeta_{\mathbf{k}}$ 's except that required by  $\zeta_{\mathbf{k}} = \zeta_{-\mathbf{k}}^*$ .
- Bispectrum:  $\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k}} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}'} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}''} \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta^2(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{k}'') B_{\zeta}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}')$ 
  - Assuming statistical isotropy,  $B_{\zeta} = B_{\zeta}(k, k', k'')$ .

$$f_{\text{NL}}(k, k', k'') \equiv \frac{5}{6} \frac{B_{\zeta}(k, k', k'')}{\frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} \frac{k'^3}{2\pi^2} \mathcal{P}_{\zeta}(k) \mathcal{P}_{\zeta}(k')} + \text{cyclic perms}$$

- Curvaton-type models for origin of  $\zeta$  predict

$$\zeta(\mathbf{x}) = g(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{3}{5} f_{\text{NL}} [g^2(\mathbf{x}) - \langle g^2 \rangle]$$

with  $g$  gaussian and  $f_{\text{NL}}$  constant.

# Observation of $\zeta$ on cosmological scales

- Statistical inhomogeneity and anisotropy  $\lesssim 10\%$
- Tensor fraction  $r \lesssim 10^{-1}$
- $\mathcal{P}_\zeta \simeq (5 \times 10^{-5})^2$
- Assuming  $r \lesssim 10^{-2}$

$$n - 1 \equiv \frac{d \ln \mathcal{P}_\zeta}{d \ln k} = -0.04 \pm 0.015$$

- Running

$$\left| \frac{dn}{d \ln k} \right| \lesssim 10^{-2}$$

- Non-gaussian fraction  $\mathcal{P}_\zeta^{-1/2} f_{\text{NL}} \lesssim 10^{-2}$

# Origin of $\zeta$

- Sufficient inflation makes universe homogeneous at classical level

# Origin of $\zeta$

- Sufficient inflation makes universe homogeneous at classical level
- Vacuum fluctuation of any light ( $|m|^2 \ll H^2$ ) **scalar** field  $\phi$  with canonical kinetic term is converted at horizon exit to classical perturbation  $\delta\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(t)$ .
- For **exponential and isotropic inflation**  $\mathcal{P}_{\delta\phi}(k, t) \simeq (H/2\pi)^2$ .

# Origin of $\zeta$

- Sufficient inflation makes universe homogeneous at classical level
- Vacuum fluctuation of any light ( $|m|^2 \ll H^2$ ) **scalar** field  $\phi$  with canonical kinetic term is converted at horizon exit to classical perturbation  $\delta\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(t)$ .
- For **exponential and isotropic inflation**  $\mathcal{P}_{\delta\phi}(k, t) \simeq (H/2\pi)^2$ .
- Usual assumption:  $\zeta$  generated from one (or more) of these perturbations.
- Prediction: **Statistical homogeneity and isotropy**.

# Three paradigms for generating $\zeta$

- $\phi$  is inflaton in single-field slow roll inflation.
  - Negligible  $f_{\text{NL}}$  but expect observable  $n'$

# Three paradigms for generating $\zeta$

- $\phi$  is inflaton in single-field slow roll inflation.
  - Negligible  $f_{\text{NL}}$  but expect observable  $n'$
- $\phi$  is curvaton-type field effective only after inflation.
  - Expect observable  $f_{\text{NL}}$



# Three paradigms for generating $\zeta$

- $\phi$  is inflaton in single-field slow roll inflation.
  - Negligible  $f_{\text{NL}}$  but expect observable  $n'$
- $\phi$  is curvaton-type field effective only after inflation.
  - Expect observable  $f_{\text{NL}}$
- $\phi$  is inflaton in generic scalar field inflation.
  - Expect observable  $n'$  and in many cases observable  $f_{\text{NL}}$

# Statistical anisotropy of the spectrum

Reality  $\zeta_{\mathbf{k}}^* = \zeta_{-\mathbf{k}}$  requires  $\mathcal{P}_\zeta(\mathbf{k}) = \mathcal{P}_\zeta(-\mathbf{k})$

# Statistical anisotropy of the spectrum

Reality  $\zeta_{\mathbf{k}}^* = \zeta_{-\mathbf{k}}$  requires  $\mathcal{P}_\zeta(\mathbf{k}) = \mathcal{P}_\zeta(-\mathbf{k})$

Simplest parameterisation with  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$  a preferred direction:

$$\mathcal{P}_\zeta(\mathbf{k}) = \mathcal{P}_\zeta^{\text{isotropic}}(k) \left[ 1 + g(k) \left( \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} \right)^2 \right]$$

# Statistical anisotropy of the spectrum

Reality  $\zeta_{\mathbf{k}}^* = \zeta_{-\mathbf{k}}$  requires  $\mathcal{P}_\zeta(\mathbf{k}) = \mathcal{P}_\zeta(-\mathbf{k})$

Simplest parameterisation with  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$  a preferred direction:

$$\mathcal{P}_\zeta(\mathbf{k}) = \mathcal{P}_\zeta^{\text{isotropic}}(k) \left[ 1 + g(k) (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}})^2 \right]$$

WMAP gives  $|g| < 10^{-1}$ , PLANCK will give  $|g| < 10^{-2}$  or detect.

Strongly decreasing  $g(k)$  could explain axis of evil?

# How to get statistical anisotropy?

Vector field during inflation can do it in two ways.

# How to get statistical anisotropy?

Vector field during inflation can do it in two ways.

- Homogeneous vector field gives **anisotropic expansion**.

**Scalar** field perturbation generates  $\zeta$

Kanno/Soda/Watanabe 1010.5307, Emami/Firouzjahi/Movahed/Zarei 1010.5495

# How to get statistical anisotropy?

Vector field during inflation can do it in two ways.

- Homogeneous vector field gives **anisotropic expansion**.

**Scalar** field perturbation generates  $\zeta$

Kanno/Soda/Watanabe 1010.5307, Emami/Firouzjahi/Movahed/Zarei 1010.5495

- Assume practically **isotropic expansion**, generate  $\zeta$  from **vector** field perturbation.

S. Yokoyama/Soda 0805.4265; K. Dimopoulos/Karciauskas/DHL/Rodriguez 0809.1055

# How to get statistical anisotropy?

Vector field during inflation can do it in two ways.

- Homogeneous vector field gives **anisotropic expansion**.

**Scalar** field perturbation generates  $\zeta$

Kanno/Soda/Watanabe 1010.5307, Emami/Firouzjahi/Movahed/Zarei 1010.5495

- Assume practically **isotropic expansion**, generate  $\zeta$  from **vector** field perturbation.

S. Yokoyama/Soda 0805.4265; K. Dimopoulos/Karciauskas/DHL/Rodriguez 0809.1055

- I'll just discuss second possibility



# Calculating $\zeta_A$

$$\delta \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\lambda} \mathbf{e}_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) \delta A_{\lambda}(\mathbf{k}),$$

$$\lambda = R, L \text{ or } \parallel$$

If  $\mathbf{A}$  gauge field,  $A_{\parallel} = 0$

# Calculating $\zeta_A$

$$\delta \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\lambda} \mathbf{e}_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) \delta A_{\lambda}(\mathbf{k}), \quad \boxed{\lambda = R, L \text{ or } \parallel}$$

If  $\mathbf{A}$  gauge field,  $A_{\parallel} = 0$

$$\langle \delta A_{\lambda}(\mathbf{k}) \delta A_{\lambda'}^*(\mathbf{k}') \rangle = (2\pi)^2 \frac{2\pi^2}{k^3} \delta_{\lambda\lambda'} \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \boxed{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(k)}$$

# Calculating $\zeta_A$

$$\delta \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\lambda} \mathbf{e}_{\lambda}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) \delta A_{\lambda}(\mathbf{k}), \quad \boxed{\lambda = R, L \text{ or } \parallel}$$

If  $\mathbf{A}$  gauge field,  $A_{\parallel} = 0$

$$\langle \delta A_{\lambda}(\mathbf{k}) \delta A_{\lambda'}^*(\mathbf{k}') \rangle = (2\pi)^2 \frac{2\pi^2}{k^3} \delta_{\lambda\lambda'} \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \boxed{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}(k)}$$

Calculate contribution of  $\delta \mathbf{A}$  to  $\zeta$  using  $\delta N$  formula

$$\zeta_A = \delta N(\mathbf{A}) = \sum N_i \cdot \delta A_i + + \sum N_{ij} \delta A_i \delta A_j + \dots$$

$$N_i \equiv \partial N / \partial A_i, \quad N_{ij} \equiv \partial^2 N / \partial A_i \partial A_j$$

Scenario for creating  $\zeta_A$  gives  $N(\mathbf{A})$ .

# Spectrum of $\zeta_A$

Define  $\mathcal{P}_\perp = (\mathcal{P}_R + \mathcal{P}_L)/2$  and  $(\mathbf{N}_A)_i \equiv N_i$  [D/K/D/R]

$$\mathcal{P}_{\zeta_A} = N_A^2 \mathcal{P}_\perp \left[ 1 + \hat{\mathbf{N}}_A \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} \left( \frac{\mathcal{P}_\parallel - \mathcal{P}_\perp}{\mathcal{P}_\perp} \right) \right].$$

If  $\mathbf{A}$  is a gauge field  $\mathcal{P}_\parallel = 0$ , anyhow expect  $\mathcal{P}_\parallel \neq \mathcal{P}_\perp$ . So small anisotropy needs

Vector contribution to  $\zeta$  is small

# Bispectrum of $\zeta_A$

(D/K/L/R) Define  $\mathcal{P}_- \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{P}_R - \mathcal{P}_L)$

$$B_{\zeta_A} = \sum_{ijnm} N_i N_n N_{jm} (P_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) P_{nm}(\mathbf{k}') + \text{cyclic perms.})$$

$$P_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) \equiv \frac{2\pi^2}{k^3} \left[ \left( \delta_{ij} - \hat{k}_i \hat{k}_j \right) + p \hat{k}_i \hat{k}_j + iq \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{k}_k \right] \mathcal{P}_\perp(k)$$

$$p \equiv \mathcal{P}_\parallel / \mathcal{P}_\perp, \quad q \equiv \mathcal{P}_- / \mathcal{P}_\perp$$

Analogue of ‘local’ bispectrum generated by *scalar* field perturbation.

No fit to observation yet done.

PLANCK team please note!

# Non-canonical gauge kinetic function

Application: generate  $\zeta$  at end of inflation S. Yokoyama/Soda 0805.4265

During exponential inflation take

$$S = -\frac{1}{4} \int d\eta d^3x \sqrt{-g} f^2(\chi(\eta)) F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$

Scalar field  $\chi$  assumed to give  $f \propto a^\alpha$ .

# Non-canonical gauge kinetic function

Application: generate  $\zeta$  at end of inflation S. Yokoyama/Soda 0805.4265

During exponential inflation take

$$S = -\frac{1}{4} \int d\eta d^3x \sqrt{-g} f^2(\chi(\eta)) F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$

Scalar field  $\chi$  assumed to give  $f \propto a^\alpha$ .

Gauge invariance gives  $\mathcal{P}_{\parallel} = 0$ .

$$\mathcal{P}_{\perp}(k) = \left(\frac{H}{2\pi}\right)^2 \left(\frac{k}{k_{\text{end}}}\right)^{3-2|\alpha+\frac{1}{2}|}$$

Flat spectrum for  $\alpha \simeq -2$  or  $+1$ .

# $\frac{1}{6}RA^2$ coupling to gravity

Application: vector curvaton model

K. Dimopoulos hep-ph/0607229; D/K/L/R 0809.1055

$$S = \int d\eta d^3x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2} M_{\text{P}}^2 R - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \left( m^2 + \frac{R}{6} \right) B_\mu B^\mu \right]$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_\mu B_\nu - \partial_\nu B_\mu, \quad \text{physical field } A_\mu = B_\mu / a(\eta)$$



# $\frac{1}{6}RA^2$ coupling to gravity

Application: vector curvaton model

K. Dimopoulos hep-ph/0607229; D/K/L/R 0809.1055

$$S = \int d\eta d^3x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2} M_{\text{P}}^2 R - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \left( m^2 + \frac{R}{6} \right) B_\mu B^\mu \right]$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_\mu B_\nu - \partial_\nu B_\mu, \quad \text{physical field } A_\mu = B_\mu / a(\eta)$$

This action *may* have problems Himmetoglu/Contaldi/Peloso 0909.3524 but it doesn't have a ghost. Karciauskas/DHL 1007.1426

# $\frac{1}{6}RA^2$ coupling to gravity

Application: vector curvaton model

K. Dimopoulos hep-ph/0607229; D/K/L/R 0809.1055

$$S = \int d\eta d^3x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2} M_{\text{P}}^2 R - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \left( m^2 + \frac{R}{6} \right) B_\mu B^\mu \right]$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_\mu B_\nu - \partial_\nu B_\mu, \quad \text{physical field } A_\mu = B_\mu / a(\eta)$$

This action *may* have problems Himmetoglu/Contaldi/Peloso 0909.3524 but it doesn't have a ghost. Karciauskas/DHL 1007.1426

Use it during exponential inflation when  $R = 12H^2$ .

$$\mathcal{P}_+(k) = \left( \frac{H}{2\pi} \right) \left( \frac{k}{k_{\text{end}}} \right)^{2m^2/3H^2}, \quad \mathcal{P}_\parallel(k) = 2\mathcal{P}_+(k)$$

Flat spectrum for  $m = 0$ .

# Vector inflation

Golovnev/Mukhanov/Vanchurin 0802.2068, D/K/DHL/R.

- Uses  $\frac{1}{6}RA^2$  interaction with many vector fields.
- Random orientation gives almost isotropic inflation.
- Anisotropy of  $\zeta_A$  is small so don't need scalar fields! D/K/L/R
- Except for anisotropy, prediction same as for  $\phi^2$  chaotic inflation.
- **Tensor** with **statistical anisotropy** is the smoking gun.

# Non-abelian gauge field perturbation

- Non-Abelian gauge field has many components.
- Could use it with non-canonical kinetic term.
- Statistical anisotropy could be small, no need for scalar fields.

# Non-abelian gauge field perturbation

- Non-Abelian gauge field has many components.
- Could use it with non-canonical kinetic term.
- Statistical anisotropy could be small, no need for scalar fields.
- But gauge interaction might generate too much non-gaussianity.
- Existing studies incomplete

Bartolo/Dimastrogiovanni/Matarrese/Riotto 0906.4944, 0909.5621.

# Concluding remarks

- LSS observations are very worthwhile

We expect to see either running or non-g.

# Concluding remarks

- LSS observations are very worthwhile

We expect to see either running or non-g.

- LSS might remain our only window on the early universe
  - If dark energy is a cosmological constant it won't have properties
  - If the CDM isn't a WIMP (eg. if susy breaking is gauge-mediated) we direct detecton will probably be impossible.

# Concluding remarks

- LSS observations are very worthwhile

We expect to see either running or non-g.

- LSS might remain our only window on the early universe
  - If dark energy is a cosmological constant it won't have properties
  - If the CDM isn't a WIMP (eg. if susy breaking is gauge-mediated) we direct detecton will probably be impossible.
- A vector field during inflation could generate statistical anisotropy. but there's no reason to expect it.
- Still, we have to understand it and see if it's there.



# Concluding remarks

- LSS observations are very worthwhile

We expect to see either running or non-g.

- LSS might remain our only window on the early universe
  - If dark energy is a cosmological constant it won't have properties
  - If the CDM isn't a WIMP (eg. if susy breaking is gauge-mediated) we direct detecton will probably be impossible.
- A vector field during inflation could generate statistical anisotropy. but there's no reason to expect it.
- Still, we have to understand it and see if it's there.

Thanks for listening!