# Targeting Monitor in K2K

Hiroyuki Noumi (KEK) for <u>M. Ieiri</u>, BCG and K2K

Contents

Primary Beam Monitors - SPIC/LS, CT/SEC Targeting Monitor - Motivation CT for Secondary particles - Response - Analysis Summary

# Proton Beam Monitors - SPIC/LS/CT/SEC

### **Profile Monitors**

SPIC:<u>Segmented parallel Plate Ionization Chamber</u> LS: Luminescence Screen (Cr-doped Almina Ceramic Plate)

## **Intensity Monitor**

CT: <u>Current Transformer</u> SEC: Secondary Emission Chamber (for Supplement)

## **Proton Beam Monitors**





# **Beam Monitors**

#### -at the Production Target







## B-CT Response (Cont.)

# Signal

$$f_{RF} = 7.9 MHz$$



 $\sim 10^{11} \text{p/V}$ 

## CT Response (Cont.)

### Current Sensitivity (Calculation)





To monitor

Secondary Particles at Production

To be monitored... Production Efficiency Spatial Distribution Charge Distribution
Charge Distribution

 $\longrightarrow \pi$  Cherenkov Monitor for  $P_{\pi}$  vs  $\theta_{\pi}$ 

Work at Low Intensity Need Off-line Analysis



## CT for 2ndary Particles

### Targeting CT

	[mm]	I.D.	O.D.
	CT-L	580	600
	CT-M	204	224
,	B-CT	130	150

Size



### Test by Dummy Current





### Targeting CT installed just behind the 1st HORN



### - Horn Current Dependence

#### Measurement

CT Response



Horn Curr. (kA)

150

150

100

CT-M

366

250

250

Horn current (kA)

Monte Carlo Simulation

Charge Flux  $(N^+ - N^-)$  thru CT

CT-L

## CT Response - Radial Position Dependence

#### Measurement

#### Monte Carlo Simulation

Charge Flux vs CT pos.



## CT Response - Radial Pos. Dependence in Diff. Horn Curr.

#### Measurement



## CT Response - Radial Pos. Dependence in Diff. Horn Curr. (Cont.)

#### Monte Carlo Simulation



## Particle Contents in CT-L

at  $I_{horn} = 250 \text{kA}$ 

р	63%	
π+	30%	
μ+	3%	
e+	11%	
$\Sigma$ Pos.	107%	
π–	-1%	
μ–	-0.1%	
e-	-6%	

(preliminary)

## CT Response - Other Result

### Effect of LS out (~2.5g/cm<sup>2</sup> removed from BL)

Signal	Increase	Normalized
CT-L	+2.8%	-2.1%
CT-M	+3.2%	-1.7%
Beam Transmission	+5.0%	

### Effect of Beam Incident-Angle Change

	No tilt	H-tilt	V-tilt
CT-L	1	+2%	+3%
CT-M	1	+0.8%	-2%

## Summary

B-CT works fine.

- Its response can be understood well.

Targeting CT has been examined.

- CT signal increases linearly with  $I_{horn}$ 

- Radial Dependence of CT signal was observed

Simple Charge-Flux Distribution thru CT does not explain...

... For Further Understanding of the Response, we need to consider

1. Effect of the particles hit the core...?

2. Asymmetric current source out of CT...?

3. Misunderstanding of Low-E particle affects CT...?

- Other Result

Detect the CT-L/M output change when LS out

Targeting CT works at T-Station,

having some sensitivity to the primary beam condition on TGT.

CT is potentially useful for a targeting

monitor.