J-PARC Hadron Hall : EXPERIMENTAL REPORT on RUN#45

		Date(submitted)	Jan.11, 2013	
Group	E36	Beam line	K1.1BR	
Reporter	Name	e-mail address		
	Jun Imazato	Jun.imazato@kek.jp		
Experimenters	S.Shimizu (Osaka), Y.Igarashi, A.Toyoda, J.Imazato (KEK), H.Tamazaki(Tohoku). R.Narikawa (Rikkyo), and M.Hasinoff (UBC)			

Summary and Results

1) Beam tuning:

Kaon beam fine tuning was performed based on the parameters accumulated in the previous tuning run in June 2012. A new parameter set was established for nominal beam momentum of 800 MeV/c, but with K1.8-D1=+447 A. High intensity and good K/ π ratio, which are sufficient for E36, were confirmed. A preliminary result is summarized in Table.

Slit condition	K ⁺ /spill [10 ³] @ 11 kW (Measurement)	/ (K ⁺) [kHz] @ 30 kW at the E36 target	<i>K /</i> π@±250 kV	$K /\pi @\pm 300 \text{ kV}$ at the E36 target position ^{*)}
1	208	144	1.69	7.7
2	329	228	0.81	4.1
3	441	306	0.61	3.4

*) The E36 target position is by 2.0 m upstream of the current measurment.

2) K^{+} stopping rate measurement:

The K⁺ stopping rate was measured by putting a BeO and Al degrader at 730, 780 and 830 MeV/c (effective). It was found that the 780 MeV/c with BeO is the best condition for E36. 2) Beam halo studies:

Beam holo was studied with a CsI(TI) counter. The flux from the degrader was also studied.

- SCHEDULED and EXECUTED MACHINE TIME, BEAM CONDITION, DOWN TIME, Priority etc.
- 1) Scheduled time: $19 \times 4 \text{ Hr} = 76 \text{ Hrs}$ (from Dec. 15 5:00 to Dec 22 9:00 am)
- 2) Executed machine time: as scheduled with several downtimes

3) Beam condition :

- 800 MeV/c with several K18-D1 settings, 750 MeV/c and 700 MeV/c with K18-D1=neg
- Stable, but several troubles with ESS due to user mis-operation at the beginning
- 4) Down time:
 - Main ring down time , and
 - Several hours of ESS down time
 - Frequent area entering to change the degrader and CsI(TI) position conditions

Comments/Requests

- 1) Some times we observed a sudden change of the beam intensity and K/pi ration which could be attributed to neither the instability of the secondary beam line condition nor counter instability.
- 2) The Q6 reading became strange. Its fixing will be necessary.